

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,375	01/04/2001	Eckhard Puerkner	646-115	4059
423 7	590 06/03/2003			
HENKEL CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
STE 200	SANCE BLVD		GOFF II, JOHN L	
GULPH MILL	5, PA 19400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	(4
		DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/700,375	PUERKNER ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John L. Goff	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r she t with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under						
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 May 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,13-19,21-26 and 28-36</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disan	proved by the Examiner				
<u> </u>		·				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other:						
•	() 	SAM CHUAN YAO PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 99/700,375

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claim 26 and the new claims (e.g. 38, 40, etc.) add limitations that were not previously considered and as such the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. In particular, claim 26 previously required the hot melt adhesive to comprise polyalkylene glycols or nonionic polyurethanes whereas now the claims limit the hot melt adhesive to polyurethanes. Regarding applicants argument that Japanese CHEM KK does not disclose the production of two-ply paper laminates, it is noted Japanese CHEM KK suggest a hot melt adhesive for bonding cloths formed of materials such as cotton, jute, etc. Paper comprising these materials is well known in the art such that paper does not define over a cloth comprising these materials. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of claims 32-36 would be overcome in view of applicants arguments and amendment to the claims.